

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/538,165	06/08/2005	Hiroyuki Hidaka	81887.0124	9232	•
26021 7590 12/13/2007 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			EXAMINER		
			SANTIAGO CORDERO, MARIVELISSE		
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
	,		2617		•
					_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Consumer	10/538,165	HIDAKA, HIROYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marivelisse Santiago-Cordero	2617 ⁻
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition	ed on 2b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matters ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
	a) accepted or b) objected to by to object of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. If the correction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 documents have been received. documents have been received in Appl of the priority documents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not received.	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application

10/538,165

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - A) The species in which claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-10 are drawn to
 - B) The species in which claims 3, 4, 7, 8 are drawn to
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because

Species A has, for example, the limitation of a determination section that determines a cause of completion of communication with the base station, wherein the setting section sets the suspend time based on the cause of completion determined by the determination section that is not required for the other Species.

Species B has, for example, the limitation of a first changing section that changes a monitoring timing of the second communication method; and a second changing section that changes a monitoring timing of the first communication method by communicating with the base station when the first changing section changes the monitoring timing of the second communication method, wherein the setting section does not set the suspend time in case of communication with he base station by the second changing section that is not required for the other Species.

- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.
- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number:

10/538,165

Art Unit: 2617

Page 3

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve

a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marivelisse Santiago-Cordero whose telephone number is (571) 272 7830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to

272-7839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to

4:00pm.

10/538,165

Art Unit: 2617

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

msc 12/7/07

MSC

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600